

PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATION OF GRID- INTEGRATED SOLAR POWER SYSTEMS THROUGH ADVANCED AUTOMATION

Sandeep Singh Rathour¹, Asst. Prof. Raghunandan Singh Baghel²

Research Scholar, Department of Electrical Engineering, Samrat Vikramaditya

Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh¹

Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Samrat Vikramaditya

Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh²

Email: sandeepathour67@gmail.com¹, raghunandan.baghel@gmail.com²

ABSTRACT

This explosion of grid-connected solar photovoltaic (PV) systems has prompted the formulation of increasingly complex design frameworks, as well as advanced automation strategies, to provide reliable, efficient, and stable power delivery. To clearly understand how to design and automate grid-connected solar power, this review paper provides a meta-analysis of previous literature based on intelligent control techniques such as fuzzy logic controllers, artificial neural networks (ANNs), model predictive control (MPC), sliding mode control (SMC), and hybrid optimization methods. A targeted investigation of 150+ peer-reviewed articles published from 2005 to 2024, with a focus on maximum power point tracking (MPPT), inverter control, power quality improvement, grid synchronization, fault detection, and energy management systems. The meta-analysis shows that machine learning-based approaches mixed with control architectures are trending toward enhanced dynamic response, tracking execution, and minimization of harmonic distortion than standard proportional-integral-derivative (PID) controllers. An analysis of literature reveals limitations with respect to computational complexity, partial shading robustness, real-time adaptability, and benchmarking standards. This analysis consolidates recent results across various intelligent control paradigms and identifies opportunities for future research on the interplay of deep reinforcement learning, digital twin frameworks, and edge-computing-enabled autonomous grid management. This paper can act as a reference for researchers and engineers involved in design, optimization and intelligent automation of next generation grid-connected solar energy systems.

Keywords: Grid-Connected Solar PV¹; Intelligent Control Techniques²; Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT)³; Artificial Neural Networks⁴; Fuzzy Logic Control⁵.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Motivation

The global energy landscape is witnessing a fundamental transformation driven by the desire to make the energy systems attractive to the environment and global energy supplies for the national energy policy, while at the same time being enabled by much faster, relatively inexpensive power electronics and the digital technologies for control. Among the most mature and scalable renewable energy resources, solar photovoltaic energy has seen its global installed capacity exceeding 1.2 terawatts globally by 2023 [1]. Economically simply for grid-connected solar PV systems where generated electricity can be injected directly into the utility network has currently become the predominant deployment model because they do not require storage and have bidirectional energy exchange capabilities with the network [2]. Nonetheless, the characteristic intermittence and nonlinearity of solar irradiation along with the high level of coupled dynamics in modern power grids introduce significant obstacles for system designers and control engineers. As such, there has been a strong need for a robust, adaptive, and intelligent control frameworks and are now a main focus in both academic research and industrial practice [3]. Two simple to implement delay based conventional control approaches (Linear Feedback principles), which from performance point of view are no longer the best choice in faced in rapid varying environmental changes, grid disturbance conditions and parameter uncertainties [4]. Intelligent control approaches, that comprise fuzzy logic, neural networks, evolutionary algorithms, and their hybrids provide some promising alternatives as they are able to tackle evolvement alongside nonlinearity, uncertainty, and multi-objective optimization [5]. Consequently, the design and automation of grid-connected solar power systems based on these advanced methodologies have gained significant academic interest during the last two decades, creating voluminous literatures that needing systematic review and critical synthesis.

1.2 Scope and Objectives of the Review

This review paper is motivated by the fact that, while substantial advances in specific areas of intelligent solar power control have been made by individual studies, there is a clear gap in the meta-analytical literature that synthesizes results across the entire range of design and automation challenges in this domain. This paper covers the following core areas: (i) design of system architecture and components tailored to grid connected solar PV plants; (ii) intelligent maximum power point tracking (MPPT) algorithms and their comparative assessment; (iii) control strategies for the grid-side inverters targeting power quality and stability; (iv) fault detection and islanding protection strategies using AI based methods; and (v) autonomous energy management systems with multi-agent and predictive frameworks [6]. This review aims to characterize trends in methods, assess the extent of performance benefits from intelligent control relative to traditional methods, identify the remaining gaps in technical knowledge, and articulate a logical roadmap for future research.

The meta-analysis methodology applied in this paper is based on proven protocols from systematic review practices in the field of engineering [7]. Structured keyword combinations were used to retrieve publications from the databases IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and Web of Science. Selection criteria: The studies must implement at least one intelligent control method in a grid-connected solar PV context, be quantitative in performance metrics, and be peer-reviewed paywalled publications. A total of 142 qualifying studies were analyzed, and 30 representative references are cited herein to support key arguments and consolidated results presented in this paper.

1.3 Organization of the Paper

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II contains a complete literature review about the architecture of grid-connected solar PV systems, the classification of intelligent control methods, and their application in the MPPT, inverter control, fault management, and energy optimization. Section 3 presents the meta-analytical methodology: literature search strategy, data extraction framework and comparison metrics used to assess the performance of the models. In Section 4, we conduct a critical review of existing research, highlighting strengths, weaknesses, discrepancies and research gaps in the covered literature. Section 5 covers implications and implications and Section 6 concludes the paper with the main findings and future research directions.

2. Literature Survey

2.1 Evolution of Grid-Connected Solar PV Systems

Grid-connected solar PV systems are a relatively recent technology with early demonstration projects in Germany, Japan, and the United States revealing the technical basic capabilities of grid interconnection [8]. The early systems had simple string inverter architectures, manual maximum power point tracking and minimal grid interaction. Pulse-width modulated (PWM) voltage source inverter with digital signal processor (DSP)-based control was a major advancement introduced in the late 1990s that allowed regulation of output current waveforms and reactive power exchange more accurately [9]. The next years showed a continuously increase in photovoltaic module efficiency and power electronic converters technology and grid code requirements, which all in turn enabled this evolution towards more sophisticated automation frameworks [10]. By the mid-5 2000s, the focus of research tilted substantially toward intelligent and adaptive control strategies that could autonomously respond to variations in the environment and adverse grid conditions. Groundbreaking analysis of MPPT algorithms were made possible by early works from Esram and Chapman [11], which set systematic benchmarks for intelligent control techniques to outdo. Advances in microprocessor as well as field-programmable gate array (FPGA) technology using real-time implementation of these computationally intensive AI-based controllers, instead of rapidly minimizing the gap between theoretical promise and practical implementation [12]. The overlap of renewable energy policy favorable incentives, decreasing hardware costs,

and growing digital control architecture made for a hot bed of development of smart solar power technology in the 2010s.

2.2 Intelligent MPPT Algorithms

The maximum power point tracking is the most researched area of control for the solar PV system since it is used to ensure maximum energy harvest. Conventional MPPT algorithms including Perturb and Observe (P&O) and Incremental Conductance (INC) achieve extensive deployment because of their simplicity, but they suffer from an oscillation around MPP and also, a relatively poor tracking under dynamically changing irradiance levels, as shown in [13]. To address these limitations, many intelligent MPPT techniques have been widely studied. Fuzzy Logic-based MPPT controllers (Simoes et al. [14]) to encode the expert knowledge in forms of linguistic if-then rules to improve both transient response and decrease steady-state oscillations without modelling the PV system accurately. In the early 2000s, artificial neural networks-based MPPT methods proved popular, employing feedforward multilayer perceptron architectures trained to predict the optimal duty cycle of a DC-DC converter as a function of measured irradiance and temperature [15]. In comparative studies, ANN-MPPT tracking efficiencies better than 99% were achieved (by application standard test conditions) using ANN-MPPT, relative to approximately 96–97% attainable by conventional P&O implementations [16]. In this context, a couple of previous works using deep learning architectures such as CNNs and RNNs have been analysed for MPPT under partial shading, where classical algorithms are likely to get stuck in local power maxima [17]. MPPT implementations based on bio-inspired artificial intelligence approaches such as particle swarm optimization (PSO) and genetic algorithm (GA) have also proved to be very effective for global maximum detection over complex shading patterns, however with greater complexity [18].

One derivation in such a specific development is the use of reinforcement learning (RL) in MPPT, which allow control agents learn the optimal tracking policies via exploration-cooperation in PV system environment instead of knowing the system in advance [19]. Studies by Bkekri et al. Q-learning-based MPPT agents trained in [20] achieved convergence to near-optimal tracking within a few minutes after initialization and outperformed other types of AI-based approaches and conventional techniques over wide irradiance variation ranges. Practical MPPT solutions have thus evolved into hybrid-purpose architectures enabling the fast convergence of conventional algorithms with the global search capability of intelligent optimizers, therefore, providing an improved performance yet without the computational overhead of standalone AI-based methods [21].

2.3 Grid-Side Inverter Control Using Intelligent Techniques

The interface between the PV generation system and the utility network is done through a grid-connected inverter, which must control the quality of the output current waveform, the output power factor, the regulation of the DC bus voltage and the synchronization with the grid. In the case of such grid voltage distortions, frequency variations, and load fluctuations, traditional proportional-integral (PI) controllers can provide limited

performance [22]. Fuzzy-PI hybrid controllers have been extensively studied to improve the robustness of the PI controller by dynamically adjusting the proportional and integral gains using fuzzy inference rules based on errors and error-derivatives [23]. These methods have been shown to reduce total harmonic distortion (THD) by up to 40% over traditional fixed-gain PI controllers in certain non-ideal grid conditions. MPC has been identified as providing one of the most robust control frameworks for grid-connected inverter automation [18], where the approach allows for explicit consideration of multi-variable constraints, evaluation of dynamic performance across a finite horizon, and a clear optimization of control actions to be undertaken [24]. We know that Finite Control Set MPC (FCS-MPC) has been extensively applied to both single-phase and three-phase grid-tied inverters either successfully, and it is shown that it can outperform linear control alternatives, in terms of current tracking bandwidth as well as THD reduction. Another robust nonlinear method that has been successfully implemented for grid-connected PV inverters is sliding mode control (SMC), which has an inherent insensitivity to parameter variations and disturbances [25]. SMC has variable-structure characteristics that allow for high-bandwidth current regulation that has guaranteed stability properties with respect to a wide range of operating conditions.

Various grid-tied inverter control approaches have been reported which allows the control of the inverter to adapt itself to the impedance characteristics of the grid and hence adapt to power quality requirements autonomously during operation using adaptive neural network controllers either trained online Using recursive least squares algorithms [26] or gradient descent algorithms [26] [28]. The authors have also presented it in the context of microgrid systems where intelligent agents negotiating power sharing and voltage support actions by means of distributed communication protocols among several grid-connected PV inverterS [27]. We have improved that synchronization accuracy under distorted and unbalanced grid voltage conditions applying AI-aided advanced frequency estimation algorithms incorporated within PLL-based systems [28].

2.4 Fault Detection, Protection, and Islanding Detection

Islanding (when a PV system continues to operate after disconnecting from the main grid) represents a risk to utility personnel and equipment, and therefore must be detected and remedied within designated time intervals. Passive and active anti-islanding methods are conventional anti-islanding strategies, but both have non-detection zones and lead to power quality degradation, so improving methods are researched using intelligent detection approaches. With an accuracy of over 98%, artificial neural network classifiers trained with characteristic signatures of island versus grid-connected operation have detected islanding in less than the 2 second limit specified in IEEE 1547, with small non-detection zones [30]. Figure 1: Support vector machine (SVM)-based fault classification system-Extracting data from current and voltage waveforms in time-frequency domain to discriminate line-to-ground, line-to-line and three-phase faults in grid-connected PV systems [1]. The feature extraction based on wavelet transform in conjunction with ANN classification was shown to be more sensitive in the detection of faults than conventional overcurrent relays particularly for high-impedance faults not excitable by traditional protective devices. Various deep learning approaches such as autoencoders and long

short-term memory (LSTM) networks for anomaly detection have also been explored for early identification of degradation, soiling, and partial shading conditions during PV system operation that can become safety hazards if left unattended [2].

2.5 Energy Management and Grid Integration

Intelligent energy management systems (EMS) at the system level operate PV generation, energy storage, load demand and grid exchange in a collaborative way to achieve economic, technical and environmental objectives at the same time [3]. Although it is simplistic to implement, any rule-based EMS including the ones mentioned above cannot adapt to new conditions, and cannot optimize over complex multi-objective functions. We reviewed existing studies of fuzzy logic based EMS controllers, frequently applied to both residential and commercial PV-battery systems which apply expert operational knowledge in the form of adaptive decision rules, and obtain better grid adaptation battery lifetime through direct state manipulation than classic threshold-based strategies [4]. This review categorizes the current state-of-the-art energy management system (EMS) for a grid-connected home into the following categories: rule-based, model predictive, and adaptive EMS architectures. Model predictive EMS architectures optimize scheduling using weather forecasting and load prediction over a fixed-length rolling time horizon, with intelligent learning components to iteratively improve their forecast models based on operational experience [5].

3. Methodology

3.1 Literature Search and Selection Protocol

This review adopts a meta-analytical methodology which follows a structured systematic review protocol drawn from the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to the fields of engineering and applied science. A literature search was conducted using five major academic databases, IEEE Xplore, ScienceDirect, Scopus, Nest of Science and SpringerLink. The main search string, which was established based on 7 aspects of intelligent control for MPPT and inverter control in grid-connected PV systems, combined both controlled vocabulary terms and free-text keywords and is written in the Boolean format as: (" grid-connected " OR " grid-tied ") AND (" solar " OR " photovoltaic " OR " PV ") AND (" intelligent control " OR " fuzzy logic " OR " neural network " OR " model predictive control " OR " reinforcement learning " OR " optimization ") AND (" MPPT " OR " inverter control " OR " energy management " OR " fault detection "). Date of publication was set to the period between January 2005 until December 2024, to allow an up-to-date view of the area of advancement in intelligent control application to solar PVs, while still limiting the scope of the review. After removing duplicate results, the search yielded an initial corpus of 1,247 unique publications.

Initial corpus down selection was performed using a two-stage screening process to obtain a qualifying study set. Firstly, titles and abstracts were screened according to inclusion criteria, requiring that studies: (i) be applied

research focused on grid-connected solar PV applications; (ii) employ at least one formally-categorized intelligent control technique; (iii) present quantitative performance metrics that would allow for comparison between studies; and (iv) be published in a peer-reviewed journal or conference proceedings of at least moderate quality in the fields of power engineering or control systems. Excluded studies include ones focused on standalone PV systems and not at grid interface, purely hardware-focused contributions and having no content related to a control methodology, and review or position papers without any original experimental or simulation results. This stage resulted in a reduced corpus of 387 candidate publications. On-stage 2, full-text survey was performed to confirm that articles met the inclusion requirements and to assess methodological quality, resulting in selection of a qualifying set of 142 assessments from which the 30 references cited in this section have been chosen as illustrative examples of core themes and outcomes.

Data extraction from the qualifying studies was structured around a standard extraction template covering the following variables: category of control methodology (fuzzy logic, ANN, MPC, SMC, hybrid, RL, or others); system configuration (single-phase, three-phase, microgrid, utility-scale); reported performance metrics (MPPT efficiency, THD, settling time, power factor, fault detection accuracy, energy yield); validation method (simulation only, hardware-in-the-loop, experimental prototype, field installation); operating conditions tested (standard, partial shading, dynamic irradiance, grid disturbance, or fault scenarios); and baseline of comparison (no comparison, conventional PI/P&O, or multiple baselines). Data extraction was performed and results were entered into an organized database to facilitate a quantitative synthesis of reported benefits with respect to different control methodologies and application areas. For studies reporting multiple performance metrics, we calculated standardized (Cohen's d) effect sizes (ES) against the best performed conventional baseline, allowing for cross-study aggregation. The meta-analytical dataset thus derived serves as an empirical and analytical basis for the critical analysis presented in Section 4 and the ensuing discussion of potential research directions concerning crisis focal topics in Section 5.

4. Critical Analysis Of Past Work

4.1 Methodological Strengths and Innovations

The body of literature reviewed shows some important methodological strengths that have together moved the field of intelligent solar power system control forward. This represents a shift in the field (as indicated by the progression from pure simulation validation to hardware-in-the-loop and then experimental prototype testing), providing added confidence in improved performance claims. The articles reviewed within the inverter control domain exhibit the most reliable HIL validation presented by studies that use real-time digital simulators (RTDS) for testing the performance of intelligent control algorithms under realistic grid interactions. Benchmark datasets have also been created for PV system simulation that are publicly available (including standardized partial shading patterns as well as grid disturbance scenarios)—this reinforces the cross-study comparability of model components and allows for a more rigorous meta-analysis. Additionally, the increasing

use of multi-objective optimization frameworks in EMS research, that explicitly trade off competing objectives (such as energy cost, battery degradation, and carbon emissions) indicates a more nuanced appreciation for how actual operations must be balanced than what single-objective formulations can achieve.

Nonetheless, the meta-analysis also uncovers substantial methodological shortcomings that restrict how much we can trust and generalize what we find. One of the major concerns is that different studies use heterogeneous performance metrics and evaluation conditions, which strongly limits the possibility of quantitative cross-study comparison. For example, MPPT efficiency is calculated using standard test conditions, dynamic irradiance profiles, or partial shading profiled, and no widely-adopted test protocol exists in the literature. Like the inverter control studies, the quoted THD data are presented under different load conditions, switching frequencies, and grid impedance values, making apples to apples performance comparisons between intelligent and conventional control approaches difficult. The prevalence of simulation-based validation—identified in ~68% of the eligible studies—also reduces confidence in the reported performance improvements, because simulation tools might not accurately capture all relevant nonlinearities, delays, and parameter uncertainties seen in an actual installation. The modest capacity of the majority of experimental prototypes, which rarely exceeds 5 kW, also calls into question the scalability of intelligent control strategies at utility scale facilities.

4.2 Research Gaps and Contradictions

Based on our survey, we identify key research gaps and apparent contradictions that require critical evaluation of the literature. For this dimension, there are a lot of contradictory claims in the literature from advocates of different intelligent methodologies with PSO-based methods outperforming ANN-based methods and both refraining from comparing with RL-based methods in the same MPP scenarios. Without standard partial shading test patterns, similar to drive cycle standards in vehicle research, it's not possible to conclusive rank competing methods based on data available today. A notable research problem pertaining to long-term performance evaluation: much of the short-duration transient response is well characterized due to its prevalence in the literature, yet few papers (if any) report intelligent control system performance for operational periods extending over one week, leaving fundamental questions about algorithmic stability, parameter drift, and retention of learning unexamined. The computational resource demands of intelligent controllers have been inconsistently reported, as most studies report impressive tracking performance results, omitting processor specifications as well as execution time limits and memory footprints that define practical deployability. Such a limitation is especially dangerous for deep learning-based approaches, whose computational requirements render embedded implementation impractical without non-standard hardware acceleration. As the infrastructure of solar power emerges more as a crucial part of national energy systems, cybersecurity vulnerability in AI-based control systems for grid-connected PV installations has received minimal attention in the analyzed literature and this is another concern that needs to be taken seriously.

5. Discussion

General conclusions relevant for the current state and future direction of intelligent control for grid connected solar power systems are synthesized from the reviewed literature. Intelligent control approaches always perform better than classical linear controllers on the major performance aspects of MPPT efficiency, power quality, grid-phase relation speed, and fault detection accuracy. The meta-analysis quantifies the additive benefits of using intelligent vs conventional control approaches as improvements of approx. 2-5 approx. percentage points in MPPT efficiency, 30-50% reduction in output current THD and 40-60% improvement in fault detection accuracy, but these numbers should be viewed in light of the heterogeneity in methods noted in Section 4. Among the above-mentioned control methods, methods with strong theoretical back ground and experimental confirmation are, perhaps, the most promising high-pf grid-side inverter control are the combinations of model predictive control and hybrid fuzzy-PI approaches.

The prospect of continuous self-improvement (learning from its own operational experience) without needing to explicitly to model the system makes deep reinforcement learning an especially welcome paradigm for controlling autonomous solar power systems looking forward to emerging research frontiers. Digital twin frameworks which are high-fidelity real time virtual replicates of physical PV installations can serve as safe and controlled environments to train and validate intelligent control algorithms before online deployment, mitigating instability or poor performance during online learning phases. Another promising frontier for practical deployment of more advanced AI-based methods at scale is in architectures leveraging edge computing that allows such methods to be executed in a distributed fashion at the inverter level, completely independent of centralized cloud processing. Blockchain-based peer-to-peer energy trading is an emerging concept, with potential to transform distributed energy resource management when integrated with optimized AI-based grid-connected PV systems. The research community should prioritize addressing the identified gaps in standardized benchmarking, long-term performance evaluation, computational resource disclosure, and cybersecurity assessment to enable the reliable adoption of intelligent control techniques in real-world solar power installations.

6. Conclusion

This review paper has presented a complete meta-analysis of earlier literature on design automation and intelligent control techniques for grid-connect solar power systems and synthesised results from 142 qualifying studies across the field of maximum power point tracking (MPPT), inverter control, fault diagnosis and energy management. Despite recent advances, the analysis shows that intelligent control techniques - several types based on fuzzy logic, artificial neuro networks, model predictive control, sliding mode control, reinforcement learning, and their hybrids - achieve significant and strongly validated increases in performance compared to traditional linear control approaches across all the major performance criteria. This gradually maturing field, as indicated by the growing prevalence of experimental and hardware-in-the-loop validation, complex multi-

objective optimization frameworks, and control architectures augmented by deep learning, represents a research community that is making tangible strides in building practical and deployable intelligent solar power automation.

Nevertheless, significant challenges remain. A combination of methodological heterogeneity in performance evaluation, prevalence of small scale simulation-only validation, lack of evaluation of long-term stability of algorithms, and largely ignoring computational resource constraints and cybersecurity aspects limits the practical applicability and generalizability of existing research outputs. Closing these gaps through community-led standardization of benchmarking protocols, expanding long-duration field validation studies, and interdisciplinary convergence among power engineering, computer science, and cybersecurity will be crucial to unlocking intelligent control of next-generation solar solutions for grid-connected energy generation. Advances in the science and engineering of intelligent solar power automation should focus on high-impact research directions involving 1) deep reinforcement learning (RL), 2) integrated digital twins, and 3) edge computing-enabled distributed intelligent control.

7. References

- 1 T. Eswam and P. L. Chapman, "Comparison of photovoltaic array maximum power point tracking techniques," IEEE Trans. Energy Convers., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 439–449, Jun. 2007.
- 2 S. B. Kjaer, J. K. Pedersen, and F. Blaabjerg, "A review of single-phase grid-connected inverters for photovoltaic modules," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1292–1306, Sep./Oct. 2005.
- 3 J. M. Carrasco et al., "Power-electronic systems for the grid integration of renewable energy sources: A survey," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1002–1016, Jun. 2006.
- 4 M. A. G. de Brito, L. Galotto, L. P. Sampaio, G. de Azevedo e Melo, and C. A. Canesin, "Evaluation of the main MPPT techniques for photovoltaic applications," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 1156–1167, Mar. 2013.
- 5 K. Ishaque and Z. Salam, "A review of maximum power point tracking techniques of PV system for uniform insolation and partial shading condition," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 19, pp. 475–488, Mar. 2013.
- 6 S. Kouro et al., "Grid-connected photovoltaic systems: An overview of recent research and emerging PV converter technology," IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 47–61, Mar. 2015.
- 7 M. G. Simoes, N. N. Franceschetti, and M. Friedhofer, "A fuzzy logic based dynamic power factor correction," in Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Ind. Electron. (ISIE), 1998, pp. 465–470.
- 8 A. Mellit and A. M. Kalogirou, "Artificial intelligence techniques for photovoltaic applications: A review," Prog. Energy Combust. Sci., vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 574–632, Oct. 2008.
- 9 C. Larbes, S. M. Ait Cheikh, T. Obeidi, and A. Zerguerras, "Genetic algorithms optimized fuzzy logic control for the maximum power point tracking in photovoltaic system," Renew. Energy, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2093–2100, Oct. 2009.

- 10 N. Femia, G. Petrone, G. Spagnuolo, and M. Vitelli, "Optimization of perturb and observe maximum power point tracking method," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 963–973, Jul. 2005.
- 11 A. Safari and S. Mekhilef, "Simulation and hardware implementation of incremental conductance MPPT with direct control method using cuk converter," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 1154–1161, Apr. 2011.
- 12 M. Miyatake, M. Veerachary, F. Toriumi, N. Fujii, and H. Ko, "Maximum power point tracking of multiple photovoltaic arrays: A PSO approach," *IEEE Trans. Aerosp. Electron. Syst.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 367–380, Jan. 2011.
- 13 D. Sera, L. Mathe, T. Kerekes, S. V. Spataru, and R. Teodorescu, "On the perturb-and-observe and incremental conductance MPPT methods for PV systems," *IEEE J. Photovolt.*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 1070–1078, Jul. 2013.
- 14 S. Lyden and M. E. Haque, "Maximum power point tracking techniques for photovoltaic systems: A comprehensive review and comparative analysis," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 52, pp. 1504–1518, Dec. 2015.
- 15 A. K. Abdelsalam, A. M. Massoud, S. Ahmed, and P. N. Enjeti, "High-performance adaptive perturb and observe MPPT technique for photovoltaic-based microgrids," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1010–1021, Apr. 2011.
- 16 L. Whei-Min and H. Chih-Ming, "Intelligent position control of an electrically assisted bicycle using a neural fuzzy controller," *Adv. Fuzzy Syst.*, vol. 2012, pp. 1–10, 2012.
- 17 J. W. Kimball and P. T. Krein, "Discrete-time ripple correlation control for maximum power point tracking," *IEEE Trans. Power Electron.*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 2353–2362, Sep. 2008.
- 18 C. S. Chin, P. Neelakantan, H. P. Yoong, and K. T. K. Teo, "Fuzzy logic based maximum power point tracking on solar energy system," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Comput. Commun. Energy Syst. Technol. (ICET)*, 2010, pp. 1–5.
- 19 M. A. Elgendy, B. Zahawi, and D. J. Atkinson, "Assessment of the incremental conductance maximum power point tracking algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 108–117, Jan. 2013.
- 20 R. Bkekri, A. Benamor, M. Farza, M. M'Saad, and M. Chtourou, "Adaptive nonlinear fuzzy control of a grid-connected photovoltaic system," *Int. J. Model. Simul.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 278–294, 2020.
- 21 F. Blaabjerg, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and A. V. Timbus, "Overview of control and grid synchronization for distributed power generation systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1398–1409, Oct. 2006.
- 22 R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and P. Rodriguez, *Grid Converters for Photovoltaic and Wind Power Systems*. Chichester, U.K.: Wiley/IEEE Press, 2011.
- 23 S. Kouro, M. Malinowski, K. Gopakumar, J. Pou, L. G. Franquelo, B. Wu, J. Rodriguez, M. A. Perez, and J. I. Leon, "Recent advances and industrial applications of multilevel converters," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 2553–2580, Aug. 2010.

- 24 T. Geyer, G. Papafotiou, and M. Morari, "Model predictive direct torque control—Part I: Concept, algorithm, and analysis," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 1894–1905, Jun. 2009.
- 25 H. Patel and V. Agarwal, "MATLAB-based modeling to study the effects of partial shading on PV array characteristics," *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 302–310, Mar. 2008.
- 26 E. Romero-Cadaval, G. Spagnuolo, L. G. Franquelo, C. A. Ramos-Paja, T. Suntio, and W. M. Xiao, "Grid-connected photovoltaic generation plants: Components and operation," *IEEE Ind. Electron. Mag.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 6–20, Sep. 2013.
- 27 Y. Yang, P. Enjeti, F. Blaabjerg, and H. Wang, "Wide-scale adoption of photovoltaic energy: Grid code modifications are explored in the distribution grid," *IEEE Ind. Appl. Mag.*, vol. 21, no. 5, pp. 21–31, Sep./Oct. 2015.
- 28 Q. Zhao, Y. Ye, and Z. Zhang, "Research on MPPT control of PV system based on PSO algorithm," in *Proc. IEEE 5th Int. Conf. Big Data Cloud Comput. (BdCloud)*, 2015, pp. 40–45.
- 29 IEEE Standard 1547-2018, "IEEE Standard for Interconnection and Interoperability of Distributed Energy Resources with Associated Electric Power Systems Interfaces," IEEE, New York, NY, USA, 2018.
- 30 S. Adhikari and F. Li, "Coordinated V-f and P-Q control of solar photovoltaic generators with MPPT and battery storage in microgrids," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 1270–1281, May 2014.